

REMARKS

By way of applicants' response under 37 CFR 1.111 dated August 15, 2003, the specification has been amended for the sake of clarity and consistency to correct a number of obvious typographical errors. The amendments to the specification effected by the August 15th response provided for consistent usage of reference numerals and terminology. In particular, throughout Figs. 1 – 3 and references thereto in the specification, the core has been designated as 10, the support assembly has been designated as 20, the side legs of the transformer core have been designated as 16 and 18, the leg sections of the supports have been designated as 24 and 26, and the coils have been designated as 36 and 38. The recess depicted in Fig. 4 has been re-designated as number 89 to correct a duplicate use of number 90, which is used to reference the supports of Fig. 6. No new matter has been added by way of the amendment to the specification.

It is respectfully submitted that the paragraphs as presented herewith are in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

In view of the amendments to the specification and drawings, and the remarks presented hereinabove and in applicants' amendment dated August 15, 2003, it is submitted

that the present application has been placed in allowable condition. Reconsideration of the rejection of claims 1 – 5 and 12, and their allowance, are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dung A. Ngo et al.



By _____
Ernest D. Buff
(Their Attorney)
Reg. No. 25,833
(973) 644-0008